arXiv:quant-ph/9601014v2 12 Feb 1996

Manifestly Covariant Approach to Bargmann-Wigner Fields (I): Generalized scalar products and Wigner states

Marek Czachor*

Wydział Fizyki Technicznej i Matematyki Stosowanej

Politechnika Gdańska, ul. Narutowicza 11/12, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland

Abstract

Manifestly covariant formalism for Bargmann-Wigner fields is developed. It is shown that there exists some freedom in the choice of the form of the Bargmann-Wigner scalar product: The general product depends implicitly on a family of world-vectors. The standard choice of the product corresponds to timelike and equal vectors which define a "time" direction. The generalized form shows that formulas are simpler if one chooses *null* directions. This freedom is used to derive simple covariant formulas for momentum-space wave functions (generalized Wigner states) corresponding to arbitrary mass and spin and using eigenstates of the Pauli-Lubanski vector. The eigenstates which make formulas the simplest correspond to projections of the Pauli-Lubanski vector on *null* directions. The new formulation is an alternative to the standard helicity formalism.

I. INTRODUCTION

The main objective of this paper is to develop a manifestly covariant formalism for Bargmann-Wigner fields [1]. There are several reasons for undertaking this task. One of them is to fill a sort of gap between the powerful covariant spinor methods [2,3] and the noncovariant methods of induced representations of the Poincaré group [6]. The noncovariance of the induced representations, and especially of their generators, is manifested in a particular decomposition of spinor generators into boosts and rotations which is used to represent boosts by the so-called Wigner rotations [7]. In addition, a transition between Wigner and spinor bases involves dividing a Fourier transform of the spinor field by some powers of energy p^0. Typically, this p^0 is identified with the p^0 appearing in the invariant measure $d^3p/(2|p^0|)$ and leads to the characteristic additional powers $|p^0|^n$ appearing in the spinor versions of the Bargmann-Wigner products. In the covariant form of the scalar product given below these additional powers of energy will be shown to possess some arbitrariness which is normally hidden behind the noncovariance of the standard expressions for the scalar products.

The mentioned decomposition into boosts and rotations leads to interpretational difficulties that are deeper than just the lack of manifest covariance of formulas. A good example is the celebrated problem of relativistic position operators [8,9,11,12,13]. The operator which was shown to be a natural center-of-mass position operator is $\boldsymbol{Q} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(H^{-1}\boldsymbol{K} + \boldsymbol{K}H^{-1}\Big)$ where H is a free Hamiltonian and $\boldsymbol{K}$ generates boosts. The position operator so obtained has many reasonable properties and is, up to some domain questions, unique for massless fields [12]. Still, the operator is obviously non-covariant since no "center-of-mass time operator" is known. The question of covariance was raised many years ago by Fleming [9], who proposed a hyperplane-dependent formalism. The idea of hyperplane-dependent and Poincaré covariant position operator appears, in a different context and formulation, also in the unpublished thesis of Kaiser [10]. The author of the present work agrees generally with Fleming's and Kaiser's conclusions that the hyperplane formalism is natural for fields defined on Minkowski space. He believes, however, that some of the interpretational difficulties have their origin in the noncovariant formulation of the momentum-space wave functions.

The methods developed below lead to manifestly covariant formulas for the momentum-space wave functions in both massive and massless cases, and for any spin. The formalism we introduce naturally selects wave functions corresponding to projections of Pauli-Lubanski spin in *null* directions. The same directions play a privileged role in defining Bargmann-Wigner scalar products [27]. The choice of null directions differs from the standard choice of *timelike* directions leading to the helicity formalism. The latter has been extesively discussed in the context of quantum electrodynamics and quantum optics [18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25]. Some formulas appearing in this paper look surprisingly similar to those arising in Penrose's twistor formalism ([3], see also [4,5]) even though there exist essential mathematical differences between the two formulations.

Finally, to close the introductory remarks, let us note that Hilbert space methods related to the Bargmann-Wigner scalar products were shown recently to play an important role in a wavelet formulation of electrodynamics [14] (cf. also earlier results on analytic-signal transform for massive fields [15,16,17,13]). The results presented in this paper will prove useful for a wavelet formulation of other Bargmann-Wigner fields [28].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we discuss massless fields and derive new formulas for the Bargmann-Wigner norms. We show that the *form* of the generalized norms depends on some arbitrary world-vectors even though their *value* is independent of them. This freedom leads to a concrete form of Wigner states which *do* depend on these vectors. In Sec. III analogous formulas are derived for massive fields. In several appendices we explain the bispinor convention which is used here, discuss properties of the Pauli-Lubanski (P-L) vector and expansions of solutions of the Bargmann-Wigner massive equations in terms of eigenstates of the P-L vector. The new form of the Wigner states will lead to a new form of generators which will be discussed in a forthcoming paper. The new generators will prove useful in discussing the question of covariance of the relativistic center-of-mass position operator.

II. MASSLESS BARGMANN-WIGNER FIELDS

A massless spin-$n/2$ field is described by the spinor equations [2]

$$\nabla^{A_k}{}_{A'_k}\psi(x)_{A_1\dots A_k\dots A_r A'_1\dots A'_{r\pm n}} = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$\nabla_{A_k}{}^{A'_k}\psi(x)_{A_1\dots A_r A'_1\dots A'_k\dots A'_{r\pm n}} = 0, \tag{2}$$

where the spinor $\psi(x)_{A_1\dots A_r A'_1\dots A'_{r\pm n}}$ is totally symmetric in all indices. For simplicity of notation let us consider the case $r = n$, and a field which has only unprimed indices.

With any massless field one can associate locally various types of potentials [3]. The Hertz-type potentials are defined by

$$\psi(x)_{A_1\dots A_n} = \nabla_{A_1A'_1}\dots\nabla_{A_nA'_n}\xi(x)^{A'_1\dots A'_n} \tag{3}$$

with the subsidiary condition

$$\Box \xi(x)^{A'_1 \ldots A'_n} = 0. \tag{4}$$

Potentials of another type are defined by

$$\psi(x)_{A_1 \ldots A_n} = \nabla_{A_1 A'_1} \ldots \nabla_{A_k A'_k} \phi(x)^{A'_1 \ldots A'_k}_{A_{k+1} \ldots A_n}, \tag{5}$$

and are subject to

$$\nabla^{A_{k+1} A'_{k+1}} \phi(x)^{A'_1 \ldots A'_k}_{A_{k+1} \ldots A_n} = 0 \tag{6}$$

implying the generalized Lorenz gauge

$$\nabla^{A_{k+1}}{}_{A'_k} \phi(x)^{A'_1 \ldots A'_k}_{A_{k+1} \ldots A_n} = 0. \tag{7}$$

Let us begin with the Fourier representation of both the spinor field and its Hertz-type potential:

$$\psi(x)_{A_1 \ldots A_n} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 p}{2p^0} \left\{ e^{-ip\cdot x} \psi_+(p)_{A_1 \ldots A_n} + e^{ip\cdot x} \psi_-(-p)_{A_1 \ldots A_n} \right\} \tag{8}$$

$$\xi(x)^{A'_1 \ldots A'_n} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 p}{2p^0} \left\{ e^{-ip\cdot x} \xi_+(p)^{A'_1 \ldots A'_n} + e^{ip\cdot x} \xi_-(-p)^{A'_1 \ldots A'_n} \right\}, \tag{9}$$

where p is *future-pointing*. These definitions imply that

$$\psi_\pm(\pm p)_{A_1 \ldots A_n} = (\mp i)^n p_{A_1 A'_1} \ldots p_{A_n A'_n} \xi_\pm(\pm p)^{A'_1 \ldots A'_n}. \tag{10}$$

Define the tensor

$$\begin{aligned} T_\pm(\pm p)_{a_1 \ldots a_n} &= \psi_\pm(\pm p)_{A_1 \ldots A_n} \bar{\psi}_\pm(\pm p)_{A'_1 \ldots A'_n} \\ &= p_{A_1 B'_1} p_{B_1 A'_1} \ldots p_{A_n B'_n} p_{B_n A'_n} \xi_\pm(\pm p)^{B'_1 \ldots B'_n} \bar{\xi}_\pm(\pm p)^{B_1 \ldots B_n} \\ &= p_{a_1} \ldots p_{a_n} p_{b_1} \ldots p_{b_n} U_\pm(\pm p)^{b_1 \ldots b_n}, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where we have used the trace-reversal spinor formula [2]

$$p_{AB'} p_{BA'} = p_a p_b - \frac{m^2}{2} g_{ab} \tag{12}$$

and

$$U_{\pm}(\pm p)^{b_1\dots b_n} = \xi_{\pm}(\pm p)^{B'_1\dots B'_n}\bar{\xi}_{\pm}(\pm p)^{B_1\dots B_n}. \tag{13}$$

The Poincaré (i.e. spinor) transformation of the field implies

$$\begin{aligned}
T'_{\pm}(\pm p)_{a_1\dots a_n} &= p_{a_1}\dots p_{a_n}p^{b_1}\dots p^{b_n}U'_{\pm}(\pm p)_{b_1\dots b_n} && (14)\\
&= p_{a_1}\dots p_{a_n}p^{b_1}\dots p^{b_n}\Lambda_{b_1}{}^{c_1}\dots\Lambda_{b_n}{}^{c_n}U_{\pm}(\pm\Lambda^{-1}p)_{c_1\dots c_n} && (15)\\
&= p_{a_1}\dots p_{a_n}(\Lambda^{-1}p)^{b_1}\dots(\Lambda^{-1}p)^{b_n}U_{\pm}(\pm\Lambda^{-1}p)_{b_1\dots b_n}. && (16)
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\parallel \psi_{\pm} \parallel'^2 = \int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0}\frac{t_1^{a_1}\dots t_n^{a_n}T_{\pm}(\pm p)_{a_1\dots a_n}}{t_1^{b_1}\dots t_n^{b_n}p_{b_1}\dots p_{b_n}} = \int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0}p^{a_1}\dots p^{a_n}U_{\pm}(\pm p)_{a_1\dots a_n} \tag{17}$$

which is manifestly invariant and independent of the choice of $t_1^a,\dots,t_n^a$ because the RHS of the equation does not depend on them. Consider $t_k^a = t^a$ where $t^a p_a$ is equal to p^0 used in the invariant measure.

The matrix form of $t^{AA'}$ is (cf. [2])

$$t^{\mathbf{AA'}} = t^a g_a{}^{\mathbf{AA'}} = t^0 g_0{}^{\mathbf{AA'}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix} \tag{18}$$

We have therefore

$$\parallel \psi_{\pm} \parallel'^2 = \int \frac{d^3p}{2|p^0|^{n+1}}T_{\pm}(\pm p)_{0\dots 0}. \tag{19}$$

The Maxwell field corresponds to $n = 2$. The resulting norm is equivalent to this used by Kaiser in his construction of electromagnetic wavelets [14] (compare also Appendix V E).

The formula (17) can be generalized by explicitly writing the "time" direction t^a in the measure. Let $t \cdot t = 1$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
t_a{}^b &= g_a{}^b - t_a t^b && (20)\\
{}^t p^a &= t^a{}_b p^b && (21)\\
{}^t p &= t^a p_a. && (22)
\end{aligned}$$

For general (massive or massless) p^a we have

$$ {}^t p^2 = -t^{ab}p_a p_b + m^2 = -\,{}^t p_a\,{}^t p^a + m^2. \tag{23} $$

For both p^a and t^a future-pointing we can define

$$ {}^t\psi_\pm(\pm{}^t p^a)_{A_1\dots A_n} = \psi_\pm\Big(\pm({}^t p\, t^a + {}^t\, p^a)\Big)_{A_1\dots A_n}, \tag{24} $$

and

$$ d\mu_{t,m}({}^t p^a) = \frac{e_{abcd}t^a d\,{}^t p^b \wedge d\,{}^t p^c \wedge d\,{}^t p^d}{2\,{}^t p}. \tag{25} $$

Now

$$ \parallel \psi_\pm \parallel'^2 = \int d\mu_{t,0}({}^t p^a)\frac{t_1^{a_1}\dots t_n^{a_n}\,{}^t T_\pm(\pm{}^t p^a)_{a_1\dots a_n}}{t_1^{b_1}\dots t_n^{b_n}p_{b_1}\dots p_{b_n}} = \int d\mu_{t,0}({}^t p^a)p^{a_1}\dots p^{a_n}\,{}^t U_\pm(\pm{}^t p^a)_{a_1\dots a_n} \tag{26} $$

where ${}^tU_{\dots}$ and ${}^tT_{\dots}$ are defined in terms of ${}^t\psi_{\dots}$. The form (26), which may seem artificial and somewhat trivial, will prove very useful in analysis of generators of the Poincaré group and generalized analytic-signal transform [14] for arbitrary Bargmann-Wigner fields [28].

The expression (17) is directly related to the Bargmann-Wigner norm.

The well known fact that the field $\psi(x)_{A_1\dots A_r A'_1\dots A'_{r\pm n}}$ carries only one helicity can be shown in a covariant manner as follows. We first contract the field equation (1) with $g_a{}^{B_k A'_k}$ and use the identity (64) from Appendix V A. Performing an analogous transformation of (2) and using the Pauli-Lubanski (P-L) vector (cf. Appendix V C) we obtain the equivalent forms of (1) and (2)

$$ -\frac{1}{2}P^a\psi(x)_{A_1\dots A_r A'_1\dots A'_{r\pm n}} = S^a{}_{A_k}{}^{B_k}\psi(x)_{A_1\dots B_k\dots A_r A'_1\dots A'_{r\pm n}}, \tag{27} $$

$$ \frac{1}{2}P^a\psi(x)_{A_1\dots A_r A'_1\dots A'_{r\pm n}} = S^a{}_{A'_k}{}^{B'_k}\psi(x)_{A_1\dots A_r A'_1\dots B'_k\dots A'_{r\pm n}}. \tag{28} $$

We can further simplify the equations by introducing the generators $\sigma^{ab}{}_{\mathcal{A}}{}^{\mathcal{B}}$ of the $(r/2, r/2 \pm n/2)$ representation. With the help of the respective P-L vector the massless equation reduces to

$$ \pm\frac{n}{2}P^a\psi(x)_{\mathcal{A}} = S^a{}_{\mathcal{A}}{}^{\mathcal{B}}\psi(x)_{\mathcal{B}}, \tag{29} $$

where $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ stand for $A_1\dots A_r A'_1\dots A'_{r\pm n}$, etc.

The eigenequation (29) determines the Fourier components of the field up to a p-dependent factor (an “amplitude”). We can write, therefore,

$$\psi_\pm(\pm p)_{A_1\dots A_n} = (\mp i)^n p_{A_1A_1'}\dots p_{A_nA_n'}\eta_\pm(\pm p)^{A_1'\dots A_n'}\, f_\pm(\pm p), \tag{30}$$

where the only restriction on $f_\pm(p)$ is the square-integrability of the field, and $\eta_\pm(p)^{A_1'\dots A_n'}$ is normalized by

$$p_{b_1}\dots p_{b_n}\eta_\pm(\pm p)^{B_1'\dots B_n'}\bar{\eta}_\pm(\pm p)^{B_1\dots B_n} = 1. \tag{31}$$

With this normalization (11) becomes

$$T_\pm(\pm p)_{a_1\dots a_n} = p_{a_1}\dots p_{a_n}|f_\pm(\pm p)|^2. \tag{32}$$

We can choose $\eta_\pm(\pm p)^{A_1'\dots A_n'}$ as follows. Let $p_a = \pi_A\,\bar{\pi}_{A'}$, and let ω^A satisfy $\pi_A\omega^A = 1$ (i.e. the pair π_A, ω^A is a spin-frame [2]). Then

$$\eta_\pm(\pm p)^{A_1'\dots A_n'} = (\pm i)^n\bar{\omega}^{A_1'}\dots\bar{\omega}^{A_n'}, \tag{33}$$

and

$$\psi_\pm(\pm p)_{A_1\dots A_n} = \pi_{A_1}\dots\pi_{A_n}f_\pm(\pm p). \tag{34}$$

The amplitude satisfies

$$\parallel \psi_\pm \parallel'^2 = \int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0}\,|f_\pm(\pm p)|^2 = \parallel \psi_\pm \parallel^2 . \tag{35}$$

where $\parallel \psi_\pm \parallel^2$ is the Bargmann-Wigner norm. Therefore $f_\pm(\pm p)$ is the Bargmann-Wigner amplitude which is used in [21,22,23,24] in the context of the electromagnetic field and the photon wave function. The form (34) resembles kernels of contour integral expressions for massless fields arising in the twistor formalism (cf. [3], Eq. (6.10.3)). The temptation to *identify* the Bargmann-Wigner amplitude with the twistor wave function must be resisted despite the apparent similarity of the two objects. The difference lies essentially in the meaning of the integral. Here it is a 3-dimensional integral over the light cone (which could be expressed directly in terms of spinors π_A and $\bar{\pi}_{A'}$) whereas in the twistor formalism we have complex (1- or 2-dimensional) contour integrals in the space of spinors. Moreover the Fourier transform contains the factors $\exp(\pm i\pi_A\bar{\pi}_{A'}x^{AA'}) = \exp(\pm ip_ax^a)$ which are not holomorphic functions of either $\bar{\pi}_{A'}$ or π_A, whereas the twistor formulation requires wave functions which are holomorphic functions of twistors. Still the analogy to the twistor formalism could be pursued further and, for example, a formula for the "twistor-like" helicity operator could be directly derived.

Instead of following in this direction let us discuss briefly the freedom in the choice of the Wigner states which is implied by the freedom in the choice of the norm (17).

Following the standard approaches (cf. [7]) we can define Wigner states by

$$\psi_\pm(\pm p, \{t_k\})_{A_1\dots A_n} = \frac{\psi_\pm(\pm p)_{A_1\dots A_n}}{[t_1^{b_1}\dots t_n^{b_n} p_{b_1}\dots p_{b_n}]^{1/2}} = \frac{\pi_{A_1}\dots\pi_{A_n} f_\pm(\pm p)}{[t_1^{b_1}\dots t_n^{b_n} p_{b_1}\dots p_{b_n}]^{1/2}}. \tag{36}$$

The simplest and most straightforward way of getting the Bargmann-Wigner amplitude directly from the spinor field is to choose t_k^a p-dependent and *null*, for example

$$t_k^a = \omega^A \bar{\omega}^{A'}, \tag{37}$$

where ω^A is the element of the spin-frame associated with p^a. We extract the amplitude from the Fourier transform by

$$f_\pm(\pm p) = \omega^{A_1}\dots\omega^{A_n}\psi_\pm(\pm p)_{A_1\dots A_n}. \tag{38}$$

It will be shown in the next section that the choice of *null* and p-dependent t^a is natural also in the massive case.

III. MASSIVE BARGMANN-WIGNER FIELDS

The Bargmann-Wigner equations [1,6,7] representing free spin-$n/2$ fields with mass $m \neq 0$ are equivalent to the set of spinor field equations for 2^n fields $\psi^{0\dots0}_{A_1\dots A_n}$, $\psi^{0\dots1}_{A_1\dots A'_n}$,... $\psi^{1\dots1}_{A'_1\dots A'_n}$,

$$i\nabla^A{}_{A'}\psi(x)^{\dots0\dots}_{\dots A\dots} = -\frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}\psi(x)^{\dots1\dots}_{\dots A'\dots}, \tag{39}$$

$$i\nabla_A{}^{A'}\psi(x)^{\dots1\dots}_{\dots A'\dots} = \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}\psi(x)^{\dots0\dots}_{\dots A\dots}. \tag{40}$$

The convention we use differs slightly from the one introduced by Penrose and Rindler [2] (see Appendix V B). The Fourier representation of the field is

$$\psi(x)^{\cdots}_{\cdots} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0}\left\{e^{-ip\cdot x}\psi_+(p)^{\cdots}_{\cdots} + e^{ip\cdot x}\psi_-(-p)^{\cdots}_{\cdots}\right\} \tag{41}$$

where $\psi_\pm(p)^{\cdots}_{\cdots}$ satisfy

$$\pm p^A{}_{A'}\psi_\pm(\pm p)^{\dots 0\dots}_{\dots A\dots} = -\frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_\pm(\pm p)^{\dots 1\dots}_{\dots A'\dots}, \tag{42}$$

$$\pm p_A{}^{A'}\psi_\pm(\pm p)^{\dots 1\dots}_{\dots A'\dots} = \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_\pm(\pm p)^{\dots 0\dots}_{\dots A\dots}. \tag{43}$$

Consider now the tensor

$$T_\pm(p)_{a_1\dots a_n} = \psi_\pm(p)^{0\dots 0}_{A_1\dots A_n}\bar{\psi}_\pm(p)^{0\dots 0}_{A'_1\dots A'_n} + \psi_\pm(p)^{0\dots 1}_{A_1\dots A'_n}\bar{\psi}_\pm(p)^{0\dots 1}_{A'_1\dots A_n} + \dots + \psi_\pm(p)^{1\dots 1}_{A'_1\dots A'_n}\bar{\psi}_\pm(p)^{1\dots 1}_{A_1\dots A_n} \tag{44}$$

$$= \psi_\pm(p)^{0\dots 0}_{A_1\dots A_n}\overline{\psi_\pm(p)^{0\dots 0}_{A_1\dots A_n}} + \psi_\pm(p)^{0\dots 1}_{A_1\dots A'_n}\overline{\psi_\pm(p)^{0\dots 1}_{A_1\dots A'_n}} + \dots + \psi_\pm(p)^{1\dots 1}_{A'_1\dots A'_n}\overline{\psi_\pm(p)^{1\dots 1}_{A'_1\dots A'_n}}. \tag{45}$$

The standard Bargmann-Wigner scalar product is defined by the norm

$$\parallel \psi_\pm \parallel^2 = \int \frac{d^3p}{2|p^0|^{n+1}}\left\{\psi_\pm(\pm p)^{0\dots 0}_{0\dots 0}\overline{\psi_\pm(\pm p)^{0\dots 0}_{0\dots 0}} + \dots + \psi_\pm(\pm p)^{1\dots 1}_{1'\dots 1'}\overline{\psi_\pm(\pm p)^{1\dots 1}_{1'\dots 1'}}\right\} \tag{46}$$

which being invariant under the Poincaré group is not *manifestly* invariant. The lack of manifest invariance leads to difficulties with applying the spinor methods in the context of induced representations.

To get the manifestly invariant form we shall first rewrite the tensor $T_\pm(p)_{a_1\dots a_n}$ with the help of the field equations as follows

$$T_\pm(\pm p)_{a_1\dots a_k\dots a_n} = 2m^{-2}p_{A_k}{}^{B'_k}p^{B_k}{}_{A'_k}T_\pm(\pm p)_{a_1\dots b_k\dots a_n} = 2m^{-2}\Big(p_{a_k}p^{b_k} - \frac{m^2}{2}g_{a_k}{}^{b_k}\Big)T_\pm(\pm p)_{a_1\dots b_k\dots a_n}, \tag{47}$$

where we have used the trace-reversal identity.

Therefore

$$T_\pm(\pm p)_{a_1\dots a_k\dots a_n} = m^{-2}p_{a_k}p^{b_k}T_\pm(\pm p)_{a_1\dots b_k\dots a_n}. \tag{48}$$

Applying (48) to itself n times we get

$$T_\pm(\pm p)_{a_1\dots a_n} = m^{-2n}p_{a_1}\dots p_{a_n}p^{b_1}\dots p^{b_n}T_\pm(\pm p)_{b_1\dots b_n}. \tag{49}$$

The Poincaré (i.e. spinor) transformation of the Bargmann-Wigner field implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
T'_\pm(\pm p)_{a_1\dots a_n} &= m^{-2n} p_{a_1}\dots p_{a_n} p^{b_1}\dots p^{b_n} T'_\pm(\pm p)_{b_1\dots b_n} && (50)\\
&= m^{-2n} p_{a_1}\dots p_{a_n} p^{b_1}\dots p^{b_n} \Lambda_{b_1}{}^{c_1}\dots\Lambda_{b_n}{}^{c_n} T_\pm(\pm\Lambda^{-1}p)_{c_1\dots c_n} && (51)\\
&= m^{-2n} p_{a_1}\dots p_{a_n} (\Lambda^{-1}p)^{b_1}\dots(\Lambda^{-1}p)^{b_n} T_\pm(\pm\Lambda^{-1}p)_{b_1\dots b_n} && (52)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $t_1^a,\dots,t_n^a$ be arbitrary world-vectors satisfying $t_k^a p_a \neq 0$ for any future-pointing p_a belonging to the mass hyperboloid (the vectors can be p-dependent). The expression

$$
\parallel \psi_\pm \parallel'^2 = \int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0} \frac{t_1^{a_1}\dots t_n^{a_n} T_\pm(\pm p)_{a_1\dots a_n}}{t_1^{b_1}\dots t_n^{b_n} p_{b_1}\dots p_{b_n}} = m^{-2n}\int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0} p^{a_1}\dots p^{a_n} T_\pm(\pm p)_{a_1\dots a_n} \tag{53}
$$

is manifestly invariant. The LHS of (53) is independent of the choice of $t_1^a,\dots,t_n^a$ because the RHS is independent of them.

We can write also an analog of (26) for the massive fields:

$$
\parallel \psi_\pm \parallel'^2 = \int d\mu_{t,m}({}^tp^a) \frac{t_1^{a_1}\dots t_n^{a_n}\, {}^tT_\pm(\pm {}^tp^a)_{a_1\dots a_n}}{t_1^{b_1}\dots t_n^{b_n} p_{b_1}\dots p_{b_n}} = m^{-2n}\int d\mu_{t,m}({}^tp^a) p^{a_1}\dots p^{a_n}\, {}^tT_\pm(\pm {}^tp^a)_{a_1\dots a_n}. \tag{54}
$$

Analogously to the massless case we find

$$
\parallel \psi_\pm \parallel'^2 = \int \frac{d^3p}{2|p^0|^{n+1}} T_\pm(\pm p)_{0\dots 0} = 2^{-n/2} \parallel \psi_\pm \parallel^2 . \tag{55}
$$

The massive fields $\psi^{0\dots0}_{A_1\dots A_n}$, $\psi^{0\dots1}_{A_1\dots A'_n}$,... $\psi^{1\dots1}_{A'_1\dots A'_n}$ as they stand are not necessarily symmetric in all indices, so correspond to representations which are in general reducible. To get irreducible fields we assume their symmetry in all indices. The number of the fields is $n+1=2j+1$, where j is the spin of the Bargmann-Wigner field. Choose now the p-dependent $t^a=\omega^a$ used in Appendix V C. Using the normalization (131) we get from (53)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\parallel \psi_\pm \parallel'^2 &= \int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0}\omega^{a_1}\dots\omega^{a_n} T_\pm(\pm p)_{a_1\dots a_n}(\omega\cdot p)^{-n}\\
&= \int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0}\Big(|f_\pm(\pm p)^{0\dots0}|^2 + |f_\pm(\pm p)^{0\dots01}|^2 + \dots + |f_\pm(\pm p)^{1\dots1}|^2\Big) && (56)
\end{aligned}
$$

where (see Appendix V D)

$$
N(\omega,\pi)^n f_\pm(\pm p)^{\overbrace{0\dots0}^{r}\overbrace{1\dots1}^{n-r}} = \omega^{A_1}\dots\omega^{A_r}\bar\omega^{A'_{r+1}}\dots\bar\omega^{A'_n}\psi_\pm(\pm p)^{0\dots01\dots1}_{A_1\dots A_r A'_{r+1}\dots A'_n}. \tag{57}
$$

IV. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The results presented in this series of papers have their roots in many hours of extensive discussions with Gerry Kaiser on position operators and phase-space reformulation of relativistic quantum theory. I am indebted to Gerry Kaiser and Dave Pritchard for their hospitality and support at University of Massachusetts-Lowell and Massachusetts Institute of Technology where a part of this work was done. My work at UML and MIT was made possible by the Fulbright Commission and is a part of the KBN project 2P30B03809.

V. APPENDICES

A. Infeld-van der Waerden tensors and generators of (1/2,0) and (0,1/2)

Consider representations $(\frac{1}{2},0)$ and $(0,\frac{1}{2})$ of an element $\omega\in SL(2,C)$: $e^{\frac{i}{2}\omega^{ab}\sigma_{ab}}$ and $e^{\frac{i}{2}\omega^{ab}\bar{\sigma}_{ab}}$. The explicit form of the generators in terms of Infeld-van der Waerden tensors is

$$\frac{1}{2i}\Big(g^{a}{}_{XA'}g^{bYA'}-g^{b}{}_{XA'}g^{aYA'}\Big)=\sigma^{ab}{}_{X}{}^{Y}, \tag{58}$$

$$\frac{1}{2i}\Big(g^{a}{}_{AX'}g^{bAY'}-g^{b}{}_{AX'}g^{aAY'}\Big)=\bar{\sigma}^{ab}{}_{X'}{}^{Y'}. \tag{59}$$

Their purely spinor form is

$$\sigma_{AA'BB'XY}=\frac{1}{2i}\varepsilon_{A'B'}(\varepsilon_{AX}\varepsilon_{BY}+\varepsilon_{BX}\varepsilon_{AY}), \tag{60}$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_{AA'BB'X'Y'}=\frac{1}{2i}\varepsilon_{AB}(\varepsilon_{A'X'}\varepsilon_{B'Y'}+\varepsilon_{B'X'}\varepsilon_{A'Y'}), \tag{61}$$

Dual tensors are ${}^*\bar{\sigma}^{ab}{}_{X'}{}^{Y'}=+i\bar{\sigma}^{ab}{}_{X'}{}^{Y'}$ and ${}^*\sigma^{ab}{}_{X}{}^{Y}=-i\sigma^{ab}{}_{X}{}^{Y}$.

Additionally the Infeld-van der Waerden tensors satisfy

$$g^{a}{}_{XA'}g^{bYA'}+g^{b}{}_{XA'}g^{aYA'}=g^{ab}\varepsilon_{X}{}^{Y} \tag{62}$$

$$g^{a}{}_{AX'}g^{bAY'}+g^{b}{}_{AX'}g^{aAY'}=g^{ab}\varepsilon_{X'}{}^{Y'} \tag{63}$$

These equations lead to the identities

$$g^{a}{}_{XA'}g^{bYA'} = \frac{1}{2}g^{ab}\varepsilon_{X}{}^{Y} + i\sigma^{ab}{}_{X}{}^{Y} \tag{64}$$

$$g^{a}{}_{AX'}g^{bAY'} = \frac{1}{2}g^{ab}\varepsilon_{X'}{}^{Y'} + i\bar{\sigma}^{ab}{}_{X'}{}^{Y'} \tag{65}$$

B. Gamma matrices and the Dirac equation in the spinor form

The 2-spinor form of the Dirac equation given in [2] leads to difficulties with a direct comparison of spinor formulas with standard quantum mechanics textbooks. This appendix explains the convention used in this paper.

The Dirac equation in the momentum representation can be written in a matrix form as follows

$$\pm\begin{pmatrix} 0 & (p^0 + \boldsymbol{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma})^{\mathbf{AB'}} \\ (p^0 - \boldsymbol{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma})_{\mathbf{A'B}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{\pm}(\pm p)^{\mathbf{B}} \\ \xi_{\pm}(\pm)_{\mathbf{B'}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pm p^a\sigma_a{}^{\mathbf{AB'}} \\ \pm p^a\tilde{\sigma}_{a\mathbf{A'B}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{\pm}(\pm p)^{\mathbf{B}} \\ \xi_{\pm}(\pm p)_{\mathbf{B'}} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= m\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{\pm}(\pm p)^{\mathbf{A}} \\ \xi_{\pm}(\pm p)_{\mathbf{A'}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{66}$$

where

$$\sigma_a{}^{\mathbf{AB'}} = (1, \boldsymbol{\sigma})^{\mathbf{AB'}} \tag{67}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{a\mathbf{A'B}} = (1, -\boldsymbol{\sigma})_{\mathbf{A'B}}, \tag{68}$$

and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is a matrix vector whose components are the Pauli matrices. The following identification with the Infeld-van der Waerden symbols can be made

$$g_{\mathbf{aAB'}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\tilde{\sigma}_{\mathbf{aB'A}} \tag{69}$$

$$g_{\mathbf{a}}{}^{\mathbf{AB'}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sigma_{\mathbf{a}}{}^{\mathbf{AB'}} \tag{70}$$

The Dirac equation in the Minkowski space representation is ($\hbar = 1$)

$$i\nabla_{AA'}\psi^{A} = \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}\xi_{A'}, \tag{71}$$

$$i\nabla^{AA'}\xi_{A'} = \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}\psi^{A} \tag{72}$$

where $\nabla_{AA'} = \nabla^a g_{aAA'}$ etc. This equation differs by a sign and the presence of i from the form given in [2]. The matrix form of the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pm p_A{}^{B'} \\ \mp p^B{}_{A'} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\pm(\pm p)_B \\ \xi_\pm(\pm p)_{B'} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\pm(\pm p)_A \\ \xi_\pm(\pm p)_{A'} \end{pmatrix} \tag{73}$$

shows that the Dirac gamma matrices are given by

$$\gamma_{q\alpha}{}^{\beta} = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g_{qA}{}^{B'} \\ -g_q{}^B{}_{A'} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{74}$$

Product of two gamma matrices

$$\gamma_{q\alpha}{}^{\beta}\gamma_{r\beta}{}^{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{qr}\varepsilon_A{}^C + 2i\sigma_{qrA}{}^C & 0 \\ 0 & g_{qr}\varepsilon_{A'}{}^{C'} + 2i\bar{\sigma}_{qrA'}{}^{C'} \end{pmatrix} = g_{qr}I_\alpha{}^\gamma + 2i\sigma_{qr\alpha}{}^\gamma \tag{75}$$

implies

$$\gamma_{q\alpha}{}^{\beta}\gamma_{r\beta}{}^{\gamma} + \gamma_{r\alpha}{}^{\beta}\gamma_{q\beta}{}^{\gamma} = 2g_{qr}I_\alpha{}^\gamma, \tag{76}$$

$$\gamma_{q\alpha}{}^{\beta}\gamma_{r\beta}{}^{\gamma} - \gamma_{r\alpha}{}^{\beta}\gamma_{q\beta}{}^{\gamma} = 4i\sigma_{qr\alpha}{}^\gamma. \tag{77}$$

(77) differs by the factor $(-1/2)$ from the definition from [26] because there the generators are defined by $S(\omega) = e^{-\frac{i}{4}\omega^{ab}\sigma_{ab}}$. There is also a difference with respect to [2] where the gamma matrices are defined without the $-$ sign (this would lead to the opposite sign at the RHS of (76)).

The spinor form of the Dirac current is

$$j_a = \sqrt{2} g_a{}^{AA'}(\psi_A\bar{\psi}_{A'} + \xi_{A'}\bar{\xi}_A). \tag{78}$$

(78) is derived bispinorially as follows

$$j_a = \sqrt{2}(\bar{\psi}^{A'}, \bar{\xi}^A) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_{A'}{}^{B'} \\ -\varepsilon_A{}^B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g_{aB}{}^{C'} \\ -g_a{}^C{}_{B'} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_C \\ \xi_{C'} \end{pmatrix} \tag{79}$$

and

$$j_0 = (\bar{\psi}^{A'}, \bar{\xi}^A) \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_{A'}{}^{B'} \\ -\varepsilon_A{}^B & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{“}\gamma_0\text{”}} \underbrace{\sqrt{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & g_{0B}{}^{C'} \\ -g_0{}^C{}_{B'} & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{“}\gamma_0\text{”}} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_C \\ \xi_{C'} \end{pmatrix} \tag{80}$$

showing that the matrix γ_0 appearing in textbooks corresponds actually to two different spinor objects. The pseudoscalar matrix γ_5 corresponds to the spinor matrix

$$\gamma_{5X}{}^{Y} = \frac{i}{4!}e^{abcd}\gamma_a\gamma_b\gamma_c\gamma_{dX}{}^{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} -\varepsilon_X{}^Y & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_{X'}{}^{Y'} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{81}$$

C. Spinor form of the Pauli-Lubanski vector

The author of this paper is not aware of any work where an explicitly covariant presentation of the spinor form of the P-L vector and its eigenvalue problem could be found. This appendix contains basic formulas and explains in detail the "null formalism" used in expanding solutions of the Bargmann-Wigner equations in terms of eigenvectors of the P-L vector's projections in null directions.

Let P^a denote generators of the Minkowski space 4-translations. The P-L vectors corresponding to $(1/2, 0)$ and $(0, 1/2)$ representations are defined by

$$S^a{}_X{}^Y = P_b{}^*\sigma^{ba}{}_X{}^Y, \tag{82}$$

$$S^a{}_{X'}{}^{Y'} = P_b{}^*\bar{\sigma}^{ba}{}_{X'}{}^{Y'}. \tag{83}$$

Their momentum representation is

$$S^a(p)_X{}^Y = -\frac{1}{2}\Big(p_{XX'}g^{aYX'} - g^a{}_{XX'}p^{YX'}\Big) = -\frac{1}{2}\Big(p_X{}^{A'}\varepsilon^{AY} - \varepsilon_X{}^A p^{YA'}\Big) \tag{84}$$

$$S^a(p)_{X'}{}^{Y'} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(p_{AX'}g^{aAY'} - g^a{}_{AX'}p^{AY'}\Big) = \frac{1}{2}\Big(p^A{}_{X'}\varepsilon^{A'Y'} - \varepsilon_{X'}{}^{A'}p^{AY'}\Big) \tag{85}$$

Using the trace-reversal formula, the identity

$$p_{AA'}p^{AB'} = \frac{1}{2}p_a p^a\,\varepsilon_{A'}{}^{B'}, \tag{86}$$

and its complex-conjugated version, we get in the massless case

$$S^a(p)_X{}^Y p_{YX'} = -\frac{1}{2}p^a\,p_{XX'}, \tag{87}$$

$$S^a(p)_{X'}{}^{Y'} p_{XY'} = \frac{1}{2}p^a\,p_{XX'}, \tag{88}$$

which imply (29), which means that the spinor $\xi_\pm(p)^{A'_1\dots A'_n}$ in (10) is in fact arbitrary.

The massive case is more complicated since the components of the P-L vector no longer commute. Consider a projection of the P-L vector in the direction of a (timelike, spacelike or null, and generally p-dependent) world-vector t^a

$$S(t,p)_X{}^Y = t_a S^a(p)_X{}^Y = t\cdot S(p)_X{}^Y = \frac{1}{2}\Big(t^Y{}_{X'}p_X{}^{X'} + t_{XX'}p^{YX'}\Big), \tag{89}$$

$$S(t,p)_{X'}{}^{Y'} = t_a S^a(p)_{X'}{}^{Y'} = t\cdot S(p)_{X'}{}^{Y'} = -\frac{1}{2}\Big(t_X{}^{Y'}p^X{}_{X'} + t_{XX'}p^{XY'}\Big). \tag{90}$$

To find eigenvalues of these operators let us first observe that if S_{XY} is symmetric then

$$S_X{}^Z S_Z{}^Y = -\frac{1}{2}S_{AB}S^{AB}\varepsilon_X{}^Y \tag{91}$$

and hence the eigenvalues of $S_X{}^Y$ are $\pm[-\frac{1}{2}S_{AB}S^{AB}]^{1/2}$. Projectors projecting on the eigenstates are

$$\Pi^{(\pm)}{}_A{}^B = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\varepsilon_A{}^B \pm \Big[-\frac{1}{2}S_{XY}S^{XY}\Big]^{-1/2}S_A{}^B\Big). \tag{92}$$

Analogous formulas hold for symmetric spinors with two primed indices.

Applying these results to the projection of the P-L vector in the direction t^a we find eigenvalues

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\lambda^{(\pm)}(t,p) &= \pm\Big[-\frac{1}{2}S(t,p)_{AB}S(t,p)^{AB}\Big]^{1/2} = \pm\Big[-\frac{1}{2}S(t,p)_{A'B'}S(t,p)^{A'B'}\Big]^{1/2}\\ &= \pm\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(t\cdot p)^2 - m^2t^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

Formula (93) shows that there exists a privileged choice of t^a, namely future-pointing and *null*. Indeed, for future pointing t^a, p^a we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda^{(\pm)}(t,p) = \pm\frac{1}{2}t\cdot p \tag{94}$$

which is analogous to the massless case even though, in general, $m^2 \neq 0$ in (93). The corresponding eigenstates are determined by the projectors

$$\Pi^{(\pm)}(t,p)_A{}^B = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\varepsilon_A{}^B + \frac{2}{\lambda^{(\pm)}}S(t,p)_A{}^B\Big), \tag{95}$$

$$\Pi^{(\pm)}(t,p)_{A'}{}^{B'} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\varepsilon_{A'}{}^{B'} + \frac{2}{\lambda^{(\pm)}}S(t,p)_{A'}{}^{B'}\Big). \tag{96}$$

The projectors

$$P(\pm p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{A}{}^{B} & \pm\frac{\sqrt{2}}{m} p_{A}{}^{B'} \\ \mp\frac{\sqrt{2}}{m} p^{B}{}_{A'} & \varepsilon_{A'}{}^{B'} \end{pmatrix} \tag{97}$$

project solutions of the Dirac equation on positive $(+)$ and negative $(-)$ energy states i.e.

$$P(\pm p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\Psi_{\pm\beta}(\pm p) = \Psi_{\pm\alpha}(\pm p). \tag{98}$$

The useful formula

$$S^{a}{}_{A}{}^{B} p_{B}{}^{B'} = p_{A}{}^{A'} S^{a}{}_{A'}{}^{B'}. \tag{99}$$

implies that

$$P(\pm p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} S^{a}(p)_{\beta}{}^{\gamma} = S^{a}(p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} P(\pm p)_{\beta}{}^{\gamma}, \tag{100}$$

where

$$S^{a}(p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} S^{a}(p)_{A}{}^{B} & 0 \\ 0 & S^{a}(p)_{A'}{}^{B'} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{101}$$

Let

$$\Pi^{(\pm)}(t,p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \Pi^{(\pm)}(t,p)_{A}{}^{B} & 0 \\ 0 & \Pi^{(\pm)}(t,p)_{A'}{}^{B'} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{102}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{(\pm)}(t,p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} P(\pm p)_{\beta}{}^{\gamma} &= P(\pm p)_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}\Pi^{(\pm)}(t,p)_{\beta}{}^{\gamma} = \Pi^{(\pm)}_{\pm}(t,p)_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\lambda^{(\pm)}}\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{(\pm)}\varepsilon_{A}{}^{C} + t^{C}{}_{X'} p_{A}{}^{X'} + t_{AX'} p^{CX'}, & \pm\frac{\sqrt{2}}{m}[(\lambda^{(\pm)} - t\cdot p) p_{A}{}^{C'} + m^{2} t_{A}{}^{C'}] \\ \mp\frac{\sqrt{2}}{m}[(\lambda^{(\pm)} + t\cdot p) p^{C}{}_{A'} - m^{2} t^{C}{}_{A'}], & \lambda^{(\pm)}\varepsilon_{A'}{}^{C'} - t_{X}{}^{C'} p^{X}{}_{A'} - t_{XA'} p^{XC'} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

where $\lambda^{(\pm)} = \lambda^{(\pm)}(t,p)$. The signs "±" of energy are independent of the signs "(±)" of spin.

To simplify the form of (103) consider a future-pointing and non-null energy-momentum vector p^{a}, and a future-pointing and null vector ω^{a} satisfying $p\cdot\omega = m/\sqrt{2}$ (such an ω^{a} always exists). The difference

$$\frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}\pi^{a} = p^{a} - \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}\omega^{a} \tag{104}$$

is also null and future-pointing, $\omega \cdot \pi = 1$, and it follows that

$$p^a = \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}\big(\omega^a + \pi^a\big) = \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}\big(\omega^A \bar{\omega}^{A'} + \pi^A \bar{\pi}^{A'}\big). \tag{105}$$

The spinors π^A and ω^A can be explicitly constructed as follows. Choose an arbitrary p-independent spinor ν^A. Let $n^a = \nu^A \bar{\nu}^{A'}$. We know that $n \cdot p$ is never vanishing if $p^2 = m^2 > 0$. Define

$$\omega^A = \Big[\frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}\Big]^{1/2} \frac{\nu^A}{\sqrt{p^{BB'}\nu_B \bar{\nu}_{B'}}} = \omega^A(\nu, p) \tag{106}$$

$$\pi^A = \Big[\frac{\sqrt{2}}{m}\Big]^{1/2} \frac{p^{AA'}\bar{\nu}_{A'}}{\sqrt{p^{BB'}\nu_B \bar{\nu}_{B'}}} = \pi^A(\nu, p), \tag{107}$$

which satisfy $\omega_A \pi^A = 1$, $\omega \cdot p = m/\sqrt{2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^a = \pi^A \bar{\pi}^{A'} &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{m} p^{AB'} p^{BA'} \nu_B \bar{\nu}_{B'} \frac{1}{p^{CC'}\nu_C \bar{\nu}_{C'}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{m}\Big(p^a p^b - \frac{m^2}{2} g^{ab}\Big) n_b \frac{1}{p^{CC'}\nu_C \bar{\nu}_{C'}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{m} p^a - \omega^a \end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

as required. Let us take $t^a = \omega^a$. The eigenvalues of the P-L vector in the t^a direction are now

$$\lambda^{(\pm)}(t, p) = \pm t \cdot p = \pm \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{109}$$

The projectors $\Pi^{(\pm)}_{\pm}(t, p)$ are

$$\Pi^{(+)}_{\pm}(t, p)_\alpha{}^\gamma = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_A{}^C + \pi_A \omega^C + \omega_A \pi^C & \pm 2\, \omega_A \bar{\omega}^{C'} \\ \mp 2\, \bar{\pi}_{A'} \pi^C & \varepsilon_{A'}{}^{C'} - \bar{\pi}_{A'} \bar{\omega}^{C'} - \bar{\omega}_{A'} \bar{\pi}^{C'} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{110}$$

$$\Pi^{(-)}_{\pm}(t, p)_\alpha{}^\gamma = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_A{}^C - \pi_A \omega^C - \omega_A \pi^C & \pm 2\, \pi_A \bar{\pi}^{C'} \\ \mp 2\, \bar{\omega}_{A'} \omega^C & \varepsilon_{A'}{}^{C'} + \bar{\pi}_{A'} \bar{\omega}^{C'} + \bar{\omega}_{A'} \bar{\pi}^{C'} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{111}$$

Return for a moment to the massless case. Let $p^a = \pi^A \bar{\pi}^{A'}$, and let ω^A be a spin-frame partner of π^A, i.e. $\pi_A \omega^A = 1$. Let $t^a = \omega^A \bar{\omega}^{A'}$. Then

$$S(t, p)_A{}^B = -\frac{1}{2}\Big(\pi_A \omega^B + \omega_A \pi^B\Big), \tag{112}$$

$$S(t, p)_{A'}{}^{B'} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\bar{\pi}_{A'} \bar{\omega}^{B'} + \bar{\omega}_{A'} \bar{\pi}^{B'}\Big). \tag{113}$$

The eigenequations (87), (88) are equivalent to

$$S(t,p)_A{}^B\pi_B = -\frac{1}{2}\pi_A, \tag{114}$$

$$S(t,p)_{A'}{}^{B'}\bar{\pi}_{B'} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\pi}_{A'}, \tag{115}$$

which can be supplemented by

$$S(t,p)_A{}^B\omega_B = \frac{1}{2}\omega_A, \tag{116}$$

$$S(t,p)_{A'}{}^{B'}\bar{\omega}_{B'} = -\frac{1}{2}\bar{\omega}_{A'}. \tag{117}$$

In the massive case, for $t^a = \omega^a$, we find analogous formulas

$$S(t,p)_A{}^B = \frac{1}{2}t\cdot p\Big(\omega_A\pi^B + \pi_A\omega^B\Big), \tag{118}$$

$$S(t,p)_{A'}{}^{B'} = -\frac{1}{2}t\cdot p\Big(\bar{\omega}_{A'}\bar{\pi}^{B'} + \bar{\pi}_{A'}\bar{\omega}^{B'}\Big), \tag{119}$$

and

$$S(t,p)_A{}^B\omega_B = \frac{1}{2}t\cdot p\,\omega_A, \tag{120}$$

$$S(t,p)_{A'}{}^{B'}\bar{\omega}_{B'} = -\frac{1}{2}t\cdot p\,\bar{\omega}_{A'}, \tag{121}$$

$$S(t,p)_A{}^B\pi_B = -\frac{1}{2}t\cdot p\,\pi_A, \tag{122}$$

$$S(t,p)_{A'}{}^{B'}\bar{\pi}_{B'} = \frac{1}{2}t\cdot p\,\bar{\pi}_{A'}. \tag{123}$$

Let

$$\Pi^{(\pm)}_{\pm}(t,p)_\alpha{}^\beta\chi^{(\pm)}_{\pm}{}_\beta = \chi^{(\pm)}_{\pm}{}_\alpha. \tag{124}$$

We can take

$$\chi^{(+)}_{\pm\alpha} = N(\omega,\pi)\begin{pmatrix} \pm\omega_A \\ -\bar{\pi}_{A'} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{125}$$

$$\chi^{(-)}_{\pm\alpha} = N(\omega,\pi)\begin{pmatrix} -\pi_A \\ \mp\bar{\omega}_{A'} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{126}$$

where the scalar function $N(\omega,\pi)$ depends on the choice of normalization. The Dirac bispinor written in terms of $\chi^{(\pm)}_{\pm\alpha}$ is

$$\Psi_\pm(\pm p)_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\pm(\pm p)^0_A \\ \psi_\pm(\pm p)^1_{A'} \end{pmatrix} = -N(\omega,\pi) \begin{pmatrix} \mp\omega_A\, f^{(+)}_\pm(\pm p) + \pi_A\, f^{(-)}_\pm(\pm p) \\ \bar\pi_{A'}\, f^{(+)}_\pm(\pm p) \pm \bar\omega_{A'}\, f^{(-)}_\pm(\pm p) \end{pmatrix} \tag{127}$$

which implies

$$\bar\omega^{A'}\psi_\pm(\pm p)^1_{A'} = N(\omega,\pi) f^{(+)}_\pm(\pm p) = N(\omega,\pi) f^1_\pm(\pm p), \tag{128}$$

$$\omega^A\psi_\pm(\pm p)^0_A = N(\omega,\pi) f^{(-)}_\pm(\pm p) = N(\omega,\pi) f^0_\pm(\pm p). \tag{129}$$

In these formulas we have redefined the sign-of-spin indices as follows: $(+) \to 1$, $(-) \to 0$. This convention is especially useful in transition to Bargmann-Wigner fields of arbitrary spin. Taking $t^a = \omega^a$ in (53) we get for the Dirac equation

$$\parallel \Psi_\pm \parallel'^2 = \int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0}\frac{\omega^a T_\pm(\pm p)_a}{\omega\cdot p} = \int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0}\frac{|N(\omega,\pi)|^2}{\omega\cdot p}\Big(|f^0_\pm(\pm p)|^2 + |f^1_\pm(\pm p)|^2\Big). \tag{130}$$

The simplest choice of normalization is

$$N(\omega,\pi) = [\omega\cdot p]^{1/2} = \Big[\frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}\Big]^{1/2} \tag{131}$$

implying

$$\parallel \Psi_\pm \parallel'^2 = \int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0}\Big(|f^0_\pm(\pm p)|^2 + |f^1_\pm(\pm p)|^2\Big). \tag{132}$$

All the conventions used in this Appendix refer to eigenstates of the P-L vector $S(t,+p)$ and all signs of spin would be reversed if we had used $S(t,-p)$.

D. Proof of Eq. (56)

A Fourier transform of the spin-$n/2$ massive Bargmann-Wigner field can be written as a linear combination of tensor products of the basic bispinors (125), (126):

$$\chi^{(+)}_{\pm\alpha_1}\dots\chi^{(+)}_{\pm\alpha_n} = N(\omega,\pi)^n \begin{pmatrix} \chi^{(+)}_{\pm(A_1}\dots\chi^{(+)}_{\pm A_n)} \\ \chi^{(+)}_{\pm(A_1}\dots\chi^{(+)}_{\pm A'_n)} \\ \vdots \\ \chi^{(+)}_{\pm(A'_1}\dots\chi^{(+)}_{\pm A'_n)} \end{pmatrix} = N(\omega,\pi)^n \begin{pmatrix} (\pm 1)^n\omega_{A_1}\dots\omega_{A_n} \\ (-1)(\pm 1)^{n-1}\omega_{A_1}\dots\omega_{A_{n-1}}\bar\pi_{A'_n} \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^n\bar\pi_{A'_1}\dots\bar\pi_{A'_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\chi^{(+)}_{\pm(\alpha_1}\dots\chi^{(-)}_{\pm\alpha_n)} = N(\omega,\pi)^n \begin{pmatrix} \chi^{(+)}_{\pm(A_1}\dots\chi^{(-)}_{\pm A_n)} \\ \chi^{(+)}_{\pm(A_1}\dots\chi^{(-)}_{\pm A'_n)} \\ \vdots \\ \chi^{(+)}_{\pm(A'_1}\dots\chi^{(-)}_{\pm A'_n)} \end{pmatrix} = N(\omega,\pi)^n \begin{pmatrix} (\pm 1)^{n-1}(-1)\omega_{(A_1}\dots\omega_{A_{n-1}}\pi_{A_n)} \\ (\pm 1)^{n-1}(\mp 1)\omega_{A_1}\dots\omega_{A_{n-1}}\bar{\omega}_{A'_n} \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^n\bar{\pi}_{A'_1}\dots\bar{\pi}_{A'_{n-1}}\pi_{A_n} \\ (-1)^{n-1}(\mp 1)\bar{\pi}_{(A'_1}\dots\bar{\pi}_{A'_{n-1}}\bar{\omega}_{A'_n)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\chi^{(-)}_{\pm\alpha_1}\dots\chi^{(-)}_{\pm\alpha_n} = N(\omega,\pi)^n \begin{pmatrix} \chi^{(-)}_{\pm(A_1}\dots\chi^{(-)}_{\pm A_n)} \\ \chi^{(-)}_{\pm(A_1}\dots\chi^{(-)}_{\pm A'_n)} \\ \vdots \\ \chi^{(-)}_{\pm(A'_1}\dots\chi^{(-)}_{\pm A'_n)} \end{pmatrix} = N(\omega,\pi)^n \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^n\pi_{A_1}\dots\pi_{A_n} \\ (\mp 1)(-1)^{n-1}\pi_{A_1}\dots\pi_{A_{n-1}}\bar{\omega}_{A'_n} \\ \vdots \\ (\mp 1)^n\bar{\omega}_{A'_1}\dots\bar{\omega}_{A'_n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The component

$$\psi_{\pm}(\pm p)^{0\dots 01\dots 1}_{A_1\dots A_r A'_{r+1}\dots A'_n} \tag{133}$$

of

$$\psi_{\pm}(\pm p)_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_n} = \chi^{(+)}_{\pm\alpha_1}\dots\chi^{(+)}_{\pm\alpha_n} f_{\pm}(\pm p)^{(+\dots+)} + \dots + \chi^{(-)}_{\pm\alpha_1}\dots\chi^{(-)}_{\pm\alpha_n} f_{\pm}(\pm p)^{(-\dots-)} \tag{134}$$

contains only one expression involving only π_A's or $\bar{\pi}_{A'}$'s, namely

$$(-1)^n N(\omega,\pi)^n \pi_{A_1}\dots\pi_{A_r}\bar{\pi}_{A'_{r+1}}\dots\bar{\pi}_{A'_n} f_{\pm}(\pm p)\,{}^{(}\underbrace{-\dots-}_{r}\underbrace{+\dots+}_{n-r}{}^{)}. \tag{135}$$

All other parts of the expansion contain at least one ω_A or $\bar{\omega}_{A'}$ and hence are annihilated if contracted with

$$\omega^{A_1}\dots\omega^{A_r}\bar{\omega}^{A'_{r+1}}\dots\bar{\omega}^{A'_n}.$$

Therefore

$$N(\omega,\pi)^{-n}\omega^{A_1}\dots\omega^{A_r}\bar{\omega}^{A'_{r+1}}\dots\bar{\omega}^{A'_n}\psi_{\pm}(\pm p)^{0\dots 01\dots 1}_{A_1\dots A_r A'_{r+1}\dots A'_n} = f_{\pm}(\pm p)\,{}^{(}\underbrace{-\dots-}_{r}\underbrace{+\dots+}_{n-r}{}^{)} = f_{\pm}(\pm p)^{0\dots 01\dots 1}. \tag{136}$$

In the last formula we have changed the convention analogously to this from Appendix V C.

E. Generalized Gross-Kaiser norm: An alternative covariant proof of invariance

The other form of potentials is not very helpful in proving invariance of the Bargmann-Wigner norm in the general spin case. It is instructive, however, to see how the spinor language simplifies the standard proof in the particular case of the Maxwell field (cf. [14] and [29]).

Consider the electromagnetic spinor

$$\varphi_\pm(\pm p)_{AB} = \frac{i}{2} F^{qr}_\pm(\pm p)\sigma_{qrAB}, \tag{137}$$

which satisfies

$$\varphi_\pm(\pm p)_{AB} = \mp i p_{AA'}\phi_\pm(\pm p)_B{}^{A'} = \mp i p_{BA'}\phi_\pm(\pm p)_A{}^{A'} \tag{138}$$

implying the Lorenz gauge

$$p_{AA'}\phi_\pm(\pm p)^{AA'} = 0 \tag{139}$$

for the 4-vector potential $\phi^a_\pm(\pm p)$. We consider the tensor

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\pm ab}(\pm p) &= \varphi_\pm(\pm p)_{AB}\bar{\varphi}_\pm(\pm p)_{A'B'} = p_{AC'}\phi_\pm(\pm p)_B{}^{C'} p_{CA'}\phi_\pm(\pm p)^C{}_{B'} = p_{AA'}p_{CC'}\phi_\pm(\pm p)_B{}^{C'}\phi_\pm(\pm p)^C{}_{B'} \\ &= p_{AA'}p_{BC'}\phi_\pm(\pm p)_C{}^{C'}\phi_\pm(\pm p)^C{}_{B'} = -\frac{1}{2} p_a p_b \phi_{\pm c}(\pm p)\phi^c_\pm(\pm p), \end{aligned} \tag{140}$$

where we have used the trace-reversal identity and the fact that

$$\phi_\pm(\pm p)_{CC'}\phi_\pm(\pm p)^C{}_{B'} = -\phi_\pm(\pm p)_{CB'}\phi_\pm(\pm p)^C{}_{C'}. \tag{141}$$

The tensor satisfies the formula

$$T_{\pm ab}(\pm p) = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{1}{4} g_{ab}F_{\pm cd}(\pm p)F^{cd}_\pm(\pm p) - F_{\pm ac}(\pm p)F_{\pm b}{}^{c}(\pm p)\Big), \tag{142}$$

and, in particular,

$$T_{\pm 00}(\pm p) = \frac{1}{4}\Big(\boldsymbol{E}_\pm(\pm p)^2 + \boldsymbol{B}_\pm(\pm p)^2\Big), \tag{143}$$

where $\boldsymbol{E}_\pm(\pm p)$ and $\boldsymbol{B}_\pm(\pm p)$ are the positive and negative frequency Fourier transforms of the electromagnetic field.

Now we can repeat the reasoning presented above for the general case and the norm used in the wavelet analysis of the electromagnetic field [14] becomes a particular case of

$$\parallel \varphi \parallel^2 = \parallel \varphi_+ \parallel'^2 + \parallel \varphi_- \parallel'^2, \tag{144}$$

where

$$\parallel \varphi_\pm \parallel'^2 = \int \frac{d^3p}{2p^0} \frac{t_1^a t_2^b T_{\pm ab}(\pm p)}{t_1^c t_2^d p_c p_d}. \tag{145}$$

REFERENCES

* Electronic address: mczachor@sunrise.pg.gda.pl

[1] V. Bargmann and E. P. Wigner, Group theoretical discussion of relativistic wave equations, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **34**, 211 (1948).

[2] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, *Spinors and Space-Time*, vol. 1 (Cambridge University Press, 1984).

[3] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, *Spinors and Space-Time*, vol. 2 (Cambridge University Press, 1986).

[4] A. Bette, On a pointlike relativistic massive and spinning particle, J. Math. Phys. **25**, 2456 (1984).

[5] A. Bette, On the Lorentz particle with spin — a twistorial approach, Rep. Math. Phys. **28**, 133 (1989).

[6] A. O. Barut and R. Rączka, *Theory of Group Representations and Applications* (Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1980).

[7] Y. Ohnuki, *Unitary Representations of the Poincaré Group and Relativistic Wave Equations* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988).

[8] M. H. L. Pryce, The mass-centre in the restricted theory of relativity and its connexion with the quantum theory of elementary particles, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) **A195**, 62 (1948).

[9] G. N. Fleming, Covariant position operators, spin, and locality, Phys. Rev. **137**, B188 (1965).

[10] G. Kaiser, *Phase Space Approach to Relativistic Quantum Mechanics*, Ph.D. thesis (University of Toronto, 1977).

[11] H. Bacry, The position operator revisited, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **49**, 245 (1988).

[12] A. Z. Jadczyk and B. Jancewicz, Maximal localizability of photons, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. **21**, 477 (1973).

[13] G. Kaiser, *Quantum Physics, Relativity, and Complex Spacetime* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990).

[14] G. Kaiser, *A Friendly Guide to Wavelets* (Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994).

[15] G. Kaiser, Phase-space approach to relativistic quantum mechanics (I): Coherent-state representations of the Poincaré group, J. Math. Phys. **18**, 952 (1977).

[16] G. Kaiser, Phase-space approach to relativistic quantum mechanics (II): Geometrical aspects, J. Math. Phys. **19**, 502 (1978).

[17] G. Kaiser, Phase-space approach to relativistic quantum mechanics (III): Quantization, relativity, localization and gauge freedom, J. Math. Phys. **22**, 705 (1981).

[18] H. E. Moses, Reduction of the electromagnetic vector potential to the irreducible representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group and manifestly covariant quantization with a positive-definite metric for the Hilbert space, Nuovo Cim. A **42**, 757 (1966).

[19] H. E. Moses, Reduction of the electromagnetic vector potential to the irreducible representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group (II): Quantization of the electromagnetic vector potential in an angular momentum basis, Nuovo Cim. A **48**, 43 (1967).

[20] H. E. Moses, Photon wave functions and the exact electromagnetic matrix elements for hydrogenic atoms, Phys. Rev. A **8**, 1710 (1973).

[21] I. Białynicki-Birula and Z. Białynicka-Birula, *Quantum Electrodynamics* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1976).

[22] I. Białynicki-Birula and Z. Białynicka-Birula, Berry's phase in relativistic theory of spinning particles, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 2383 (1987).

[23] I. Białynicki-Birula, On the wave function of the photon, Acta Phys. Polon. A **86**, 97 (1994).

[24] I. Białynicki-Birula, The photon wave function, in *Coherence and Quantum Optics VII — Rochester '95*, Plenum Press, to be published.

[25] J. E. Sipe, Photon wave functions, Phys. Rev. A **52**, 1875 (1995).

[26] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill, 1964).

[27] M. Czachor, Manifestly covariant approach to Bargmann-Wigner fields (II): From spin-frames to Bargmann-Wigner spinors, submitted to Proc. Roy. Soc. (London).

[28] M. Czachor, Manifestly covariant approach to Bargmann-Wigner fields: Bargmann-Wigner wavelets, in preparation.

[29] L. Gross, Norm invariance of mass-zero equations under the conformal group, J. Math. Phys. **5**, 687 (1964).